

Equality Impact Assessment • EIAs: Compulsory Purchase Order - 45 Marchwood Road.





Print this page

Equality Impact Assessment and Consultation

Approved

Approved by Nunn Louise

Equality Impact Assessment

Introductory Information

Reference number

581

Proposal type

OBudget @ Project

Project name

Compulsory Purchase Order - 45 Marchwood Road.

Decision Type

Type of decision

Cabinet

OCabinet Committee (e.g. Cabinet Highways Committee)

OLeader

OIndividual Cabinet Member

OExecutive Director/Director

Officer Decisions (Non-Key)

 \bigcirc Council (e.g. Budget and Housing Revenue Account)

ORegulatory Committees (e.g. Licensing Committee)

Lead Cabinet Member

Wood Paul (LAB CLLR)

Entered on Q Tier

OYes ⊚ No

Year(s)

EIA date

29/05/2019

EIA lead

Nunn Louise

EIA contact

Dunk Neil (NCC)

Lead officer
Manley Laraine (CEX)

Page 149

Lead Corporate Plan priority

Thriving Neighbourhoods and Communities

Portfolio, Service and Team

Cross Portfolio

OYes @ No

Portfolio

Place

Place service(s)

☑ Housing and Neighbourhoods Service

Place team(s)

Housing and Neighbourhoods

Is the EIA joint with another organisation (eg NHS)?

Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

The proposal is to compulsorily purchase 45 Marchwood Road in order for the Property to be refurbished and brought back into use. The reason for this proposal is that, due to the lack of progress from the owner in carrying out refurbishment of the Property, along with any meaningful proposals for bringing it back into occupation, the Council believes that the Property will remain in a state of serious disrepair and unoccupied without Council intervention. Obtaining a compulsory purchase order (CPO) will enable the Property to be disposed of on the open market for a new owner to renovate and arrange to be occupied. The Council has powers to make a CPO for the acquisition of land for the purpose of providing housing. This power includes the acquisition of empty properties as an option of last resort where there appears to be no other prospect of those empty properties being brought back into use. The Statement of Reasons sets out the reasons why the Council wishes to purchase the Property.

Impact

Under the Public Sector Equality Duty we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- · eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- · advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

More information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the Community Knowledge Profiles.

Note the EIA should describe impact before any action/mitigation. If there are both negatives and positives, please outline these - positives will be part of any mitigation. The action plan should detail any mitigation.

Overview

Overview (describe how the proposal helps to meet the Public Sector Duty outlined above), Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA)

In carrying out any of its functions, the Council needs to be mindful of the Public Sector Equality Duty ('PSED') contained in Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. Amongst other things this duty requires the Council to have due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it. The relevant protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race; religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation. The Council in the development of these proposals has had regard to its PSED and considers that the proposals do not give rise to any equality issues under this duty.

⊚Yes ONo

Impacts		
Proposal has an impact on		
Health		
wider determinants of health)?	ant impact on health and well-being (including effects on the	
O Yes ⊚ No		
Age		
Staff ○ Yes		
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No		
Impact ○ Positive ⑥ Neutral ○ Ne	gative	
Level ○None ⊚Low ○Medium	⊙ High	
	Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited ouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the	
Staff ○ Yes ⑥ No		
Customers		
Impact	gative	
Level ○ None	⊙ High	
	Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited ouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the	
Pregnancy/Maternity		
Staff		
O Yes ⊗ No		
Customers	Page 151	

Impact ○ Positive ● Neutral ○ Negative
Level ○None ⊚Low ○Medium ○High
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.
Race
Staff ○Yes ⊚ No
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No
Impact ○ Positive ⑥ Neutral ○ Negative
Level ⊙None ⊚Low ⊙Medium ⊙High
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.
Religion/Belief
Staff ○Yes ⊚No
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No
Impact ○ Positive ③ Neutral ○ Negative
Level ⊙None ⊚Low ⊙Medium ⊙High
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.
Sex
Staff ○Yes

Page 152

⊚Yes ONo

Impact ○ Positive ● Neutral ○ Negative	
Level ○None ⊚Low ○Medium ○High	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibite has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Sexual Orientation	
Staff ○ Yes	
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No	
Impact ○ Positive ● Neutral ○ Negative	
Level ○ None	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibite has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Transgender	
Staff ○ Yes ⑥ No	
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No	
Impact ○ Positive ⑥ Neutral ○ Negative	
Level ○ None	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibite has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Carers	
Staff ○ Yes ● No	
Customers © Yes ONO Page 153	

Impact ○ Positive	
Level ○None Low ○Medium ○High	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Voluntary/Community & Faith Sectors	
Staff ○ Yes	
Customers	
Impact	
○ Positive Neutral ○ Negative	
Level ○ None	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Cohesion	
Staff ○ Yes • No	
Customers ⊚ Yes ○ No	
Impact ○ Positive ⑥ Neutral ○ Negative	
Level ○ None	
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.	
Poverty & Financial Inclusion	
Staff	

Page 154

O Yes ⊗ No

Yes ONo
Impact ○ Positive ③ Neutral ○ Negative
Level ○None ⊚Low ○Medium ○High
Details of impact The poor state of repair of the Property and the associated anti-social behaviour exhibited has a negative effect on neighbouring properties impacting on health and wellbeing of the occupiers.
Supporting Documentation
Cumulative impact
Proposal has a cumulative impact ○ Yes ⑥ No
Proposal has geographical impact across Sheffield ○Yes
Local Partnership Area(s) impacted All Specific
Action Plan and Supporting Evidence
Action plan

The property has been vacant since at least 2012 and is in a poor state of repair, attracting anti-social behaviour and is having a negative impact on the local community. There is a demand for this type of property within Sheffield and the Council has, with limited success, attempted to engage with the property owner, in an effort to get the property back into occupation, including an offer to purchase the property by agreement. In addition, particularly in respect of recent enforcement action taken by the Council, the owner has failed to take reasonable steps to make the property safe. In those circumstances, as an option of last resort, the Council consider, to ensure to property is put back into occupation, that it is appropriate to seek a CPO in respect of the property. The property will be fully renovated and brought to a Decent Home Standard and then allocated to the appropriate clients. This will support the need for this property type in this particular area.

Supporting Evidence (Please detail all your evidence used to support the EIA) Report drafted for Cabinet. The Compulsory Purchase Order.

Consultation

Consultation required

OYes ⊚ No

Page 155

If consultation is not required please state why	
Are Staff who may be affected by these proposals aware of them (a) Yes O No Are Customers who may be affected by these proposals aware of them (a) Yes O No	
If you have said no to either please say why There is no statutory right to consult on these proposals. However neighbours of the property have made repeated complaints regarding the condition of this property and the Council has engaged with them to discuss their concerns.	
Summary of overall impact	
Summary of overall impact Bringing long term empty properties back into occupation increases the local population and thereby contributes towards creating conditions for local businesses to grow. The success of local businesses acts as a catalyst in attracting further investment and with it, economic growth. Summary of evidence	
Changes made as a result of the EIA	
Escalation plan	
Is there a high impact in any area? O Yes No	
Overall risk rating after any mitigations have been put in place O High O Medium O Low O None	
Review date	
Review date	
If a review date is specified, it will appear in the 'Upcoming Reviews' view when the EIA review is within 30 days.	
Approved	